imine linkage is introduced into the macrocyclic complex (Table I).

Table I. Reversible Oxidation Potentials for the Fe(II)-Fe(III) Couples of the Macrocyclic Iron Complexes in Acetonitrile Solution

Complex	No. of iso- lated imines	No. of α-di- imines	Half-wave potential, ^a V
$Fe^{II}(Me_2[14]aneN_4)^{2+}$	0	0	+0.27
$Fe^{II}(Me_6[14]aneN_4)^{2+}$	0	0	+0.38
$Fe^{II}([14]4,11-dieneN_4)^{2+}$	2	0	+0.44
$Fe^{II}([14]1,4,11-trieneN_4)^{2+}$	3	0	+0.51
$Fe^{II}([14]1,4,8,11-tetraeneN_4)^{2+}$	4	0	+0.59
Fe ^{II} ([14]1,3,8-trieneN ₄) ²⁺	1	1	+0.76
Fe ¹¹ ([14]1,3,7,11-tetraeneN ₄) ²⁺	2	1	+0.72
$Fe^{II}([14]1.3,7.10-tetraeneN_4)^{2+}$	2	1	+0.82
Fe ¹¹ ([14]1,3,8,10-tetraeneN ₄) ²⁺	0	2	+0.89

 a These potentials are accurate to ± 10 mV and measured against an Ag $Ag^+(0.1 M)$ reference electrode.

Only the complexes containing α -difficult rings show well-defined, reversible reduction behavior. The outstanding example is $Fe^{II}([14]1,3,8,10-tetraeneN_4)^{2+}$ which exhibits three very well defined one-electron reduction steps (at -0.80, -1.41, and -1.83 V, respectively), producing complexes formally containing Fe(I), Fe(0), and Fe(-1). The iron complexes having isolated imines, as well as the $Fe^{II}([14]aneN_4)^{2+}$ complexes, all reduce irreversibly in acetonitrile solution, typically at cathodic potentials in the range of $-2.1 \rightarrow$ -2.2 V. Despite a determined search, no definitive evidence has yet been found for an oxidation state of iron higher than three in these complexes, in contrast to expectations and evidence relating to iron-porphyrin systems.7,8

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health and the National Science Foundation.

(7) R. H. Felton, G. S. Owen, D. Dolphin, and J. Fajer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 6332 (1971).
(8) T. H. Mosh, A. Ehrenberg, and A. J. Bearden, Biochemistry, Neuroperformation.

8, 4159 (1969).

James C. Dabrowiak, Frank V. Lovecchio Virgil L. Goedken, Daryle H. Busch* Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio 43210 Received March 6, 1972

Stereochemistry of the Di- π -methane Rearrangement. Mechanistic and Exploratory Organic Photochemistry. LXIX¹

Sir:

We have previously noted that when π moieties are bound to a single carbon in a photochemical reactant, one observes a ubiquitous rearrangement affording a π -substituted cyclopropane; we termed this the di- π methane rearrangement² and have studied the multiplicity and stereochemistry of the reaction. There are three centers of stereochemistry involved, and previously we showed that the configuration at carbon 5 of the cis and trans isomers of 1,1-diphenyl-3,3dimethyl-1,4-hexadiene (1a and 1b) is retained. Preliminary evidence² suggested that inversion of configuration is preferred at C-3 but the stereochemistry at C-1 was left uncertain by these earlier studies.



The present investigation was initiated with the goal of establishing the stereochemistry of the di- π -methane rearrangement at carbon 1. For this purpose the photochemistry of the cis- and trans-1-phenyl-3,3,5trimethyl-1,4-hexadienes (3a and 3b) was investigated.

The synthesis utilized 2,2,4-trimethyl-3-pentenal³ which reacted with benzylidenetriphenylphosphorane to give the desired cis- and trans-phenyl dienes 3a and 3b. The trans isomer 3b was obtained alone by use of benzylidenediethylphosphonate instead.4

The configurations of these compounds were based on (1) the presence in 3b of the 10.35 μ infrared band characteristic⁵ of trans 1,2-disubstituted alkenes coupled with the absence of this absorption in 3a; (2) the vinyl AB quartet in 3a with τ 3.67 and 4.34 chemical shifts and J = 12.8 cps contrasted with a sharp singlet at τ 3.68 for two vinyl hydrogens in **3b** (this was compared with the known nmr spectrum⁶ of the stereoisomeric analogs lacking the C-5 methyls where the cis isomer had an AB quartet with τ 3.46 and 4.33 chemical shifts and J = 13.2 cps and the trans isomer displayed a sharp singlet at τ 3.80); and (3) the expectation⁷ that the phosphonium ylid reaction should give a predominance of cis product while the phosphonate ylid should lead preferentially to trans.

Direct photolysis of trans-phenyl diene 3b gave pritrans-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2',2'-dimethylvinyl)-1marily phenylcyclopropane (4b). Additionally, there were obtained lesser amounts of syn-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-3phenylbicyclo[2.1.0]pentane (5) and traces of the cisvinyl cyclopropane 4a.

Similar irradiation of the cis-phenyl diene 3a gave predominantly cis-3,3-dimethyl-2-(2',2'-dimethylvinyl)-1-phenylcyclopropane (4a) as the kinetic product; long irradiation led to heavy formation of trans-vinylcyclopropane 4b, due to cis-trans isomerization coupled with the sevenfold greater extinction coefficient of the trans stereoisomer 3b.

The quantum yields are given in eq 2; these derive from runs taken as low as 3-5% conversion.

The structures for the cis- and trans-vinylcyclopropanes 4a and 4b were established by conversion of the known⁸ cis- and trans-2,2-dimethyl-3-phenylcyclopro-

- (4) Full synthetic detail will be given in our complete publication. Satisfactory analyses were obtained on all compounds.
- (5) K. Nakanishi, "Infrared Absorption Spectroscopy," Holden-Day, San Francisco, Calif., 1962, p 25.
- (6) D. Seyferth and G. Singh, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 87, 4156 (1965).
 (7) W. S. Wadsworth and W. D. Emmons, *ibid.*, 83, 1733 (1961).
- (8) F. Sorm and J. Farkas, Chem. Listy, 52, 688 (1958).

⁽¹⁾ For Paper LXVIII, see H. E. Zimmerman and R. D. Little, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 698 (1972).

^{(2) (}a) H. E. Zimmerman and P. S. Mariano, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 1718 (1969); (b) H. E. Zimmerman and A. C. Pratt, *ibid.*, 92, 6267 (1970); (c) H. E. Zimmerman and G. A. Samuelson, *ibid.*, 91, 5307 (1969). (1969).

⁽³⁾ M. Julia and M. Baillarge, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 734 (1966).



panecarboxylic acids, whose configurations rest on firm nmr evidence,⁹ into the corresponding aldehydes and then reaction with isopropylidenetriphenylphosphorane to give 4a and 4b, respectively. The structure of housane (5) is derived from nmr and comparison with the nmr data of Hammond.¹⁰ The stereochemistry there rested on the H-3 and H-4 nmr coupling constants for syn and anti isomers of 2 and 0 cps, respectively.

In contrast to the direct irradiations, benzophenone sensitized photolyses of the *cis*- and *trans*-phenyl dienes **3a** and **3b** gave no di- π -methane rearrangement and only cis-trans interconversion. The efficiencies were $\phi = 0.087$ for cis \rightarrow trans and $\phi = 0.12$ for trans \rightarrow cis.

A most exciting result is that the di- π -methane rearrangement of the phenyl dienes **3a** and **3b** is stereospecific, and the situation at C-1 parallels that at C-5 in that cis reactant leads preferentially to cis product and trans reactant gives trans product.

The lack of specificity in housane (5) formation may derive from loss of stereochemistry in a 1,4 biradical formed by 2,4 bonding and then intersystem crossing to triplet, but the evidence that 5 is the kinetic product is less certain due to experimental limitations. The greater housane formation from the *trans*-diene 3b is reasonable since the syn,syn conformation is more likely for this isomer than for 3a.

The stereochemistry of the reaction is most readily understood in terms of the drawings in Figure 1. For convenience the syn,syn geometry is drawn, in which the two π bonds and the methane carbon 3 are in the U-shaped conformation. The stereochemical reasoning, however, is independent of which conformation is utilized. In inspecting Figure 1, one should recognize that the two representations 6 and 7 are equivalent. The former differs in showing the isobutenylidene sp² hybrid and one π -system p orbital combined into two equivalent sp⁵ hybrids at C-4.

It is seen in the reaction that group R_1 , which is initially trans with respect to the methane carbon C-3 on π bond 1,2, is twisted trans to the isopropylidene group; and R_1 and $Me_2C=-CH$ - become trans in the product. This motion is depicted in Figure 1. The new three-ring σ bond must then arise from overlap of the anti lobe at carbon 1. As mentioned, tentative evidence for a preference for inversion of configuration at the methane carbon in the di- π -methane rearrangement has been advanced by us previously,^{2b,e} and this overlap is used in Figure 1.¹¹

(9) D. J. Patel, M. E. H. Howeden, and J. D. Roberts, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 85, 3218 (1963).

(11) P. S. Mariano and J. K. Ko, *ibid.*, 94, 1766 (1972). Mariano, in an elegant study, has arrived at similar conclusions by a different ap-



Figure 1. Reaction stereochemistry.

Least motion might be considered as a potential factor in controlling the reaction stereochemistry. However, presently it is seen that an approximately equal twist is required to arrive at either stereochemistry at C-1.

As noted earlier,^{2b} this stereochemistry is excited state allowed since the six electron array is of the Möbius variety.¹²

Finally, still another case is available in which the singlet excited state rearranges *via* a concerted process with a cyclic orbital array while the triplet leads to a biradical and subsequent energy dissipation by double bond twisting.^{2b}

Acknowledgment. Support of this research by AROD and NSF is gratefully acknowledged.

proach. We acknowledge with pleasure Professor Mariano's private communication of his results.

(12) H. E. Zimmerman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88, 1564 (1966); H. E. Zimmerman, Accounts Chem. Res., 4, 272 (1971).

Howard E. Zimmerman,* Peter Baeckstrom Tommy Johnson, David W. Kurtz Chemistry Department, University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Received April 6, 1972

The Use of Shift Reagents in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Studies of Chemical Exchange

Sir:

A paramagnetic complex shifts the magnetic resonance frequency of nuclei in polar solvents by¹

$$\Delta \nu_{\rm e} = C_{\rm s} K_{\rm j} / kT \tag{1}$$

where C_s is the concentration of complex and K_j is a contact shift constant characteristic of each set of nuclei and the applied field. Complexes, such as Eu(thd)₃ and Pr(thd)₃,² produce³⁻⁵ "chemical shifts" be-

(1) D. R. Eaton and W. D. Phillips, Advan. Magn. Resonance, 1, 103 (1965); H. J. Keller and K. E. Schwarzhaus, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 9, 196 (1970).

(2) Eu(thd)₃ represents tris(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-3,5-heptanedionato)europium(III), also designated as Eu(DPM)₃. Eu(fod)₃ represents tris(1,1,1,2,2,3,3-heptafiuoro-7,7-dimethyl-4,6-octanedionato)europium-(III). Pr(thd)₃ and Pr(fod)₃ represent the praseodymium(III) complexes.

(3) C. C. Hinckley, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 5160 (1969); J. K. M. Sanders and D. H. Williams, Chem. Commun., 422 (1970).

(4) J. Briggs, G. H. Frost, F. A. Hart, G. P. Moss, and M. C. Staniforth, *ibid.*, 749 (1970).

(5) R. E. Rondeau and R. E. Sievers, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 1522 (1971).

⁽¹⁰⁾ H. Kristinsson and G. S. Hammond, ibid., 89, 5970 (1967).